Extract from Hansard

[ASSEMBLY - Tuesday, 13 November 2007] p6948c-6948c Mr Paul Omodei; Mr Jim McGinty

DANTE ARTHURS - POLICE INVESTIGATION

650. Mr P.D. OMODEI to the Attorney General:

I refer to the investigation into the bungling of the case into the sick and sadistic murderer Dante Arthurs.

- (1) Can the Attorney General confirm that under the Director of Public Prosecutions' own prosecution policy and guidelines, the prosecutor had a responsibility to seek additional evidence before dropping the 2003 case?
- Given the amount of blood present, did the prosecutor ask the police whether there was additional DNA evidence, such as on Dante Arthurs' clothing; and, if not, why not?
- (3) How does the Attorney General explain comments by the police union that the DPP knew that DNA testing was not conducted on Dante Arthurs' clothing?

Mr J.A. McGINTY replied:

(1)-(3) If the Leader of the Opposition was serious about an answer to a detailed question about the nature of the information that was provided to the DPP, he would have given some notice of that question. Having said that, let me say this. It is quite clear that the police commissioner has indicated -

Mr P.D. Omodei interjected.

Mr J.A. McGINTY: It is quite clear that the police commissioner has indicated that the police concerned with the Dante Arthurs investigation into the 2003 assault did not handle the matter, in the view of the police commissioner, as professionally as should have been done. The issue was one of the police gathering the evidence that was necessary in order for a prosecution to take place. The fact of the DNA evidence - the blood that was found on Dante Arthurs' shorts - was something that came to light only in recent months. I think everyone here is well and truly aware of that.